## Case 4:22-mj-71156-MAG Document 15 Filed 09/06/22 Page 1 of 1

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United	d States of America,	)	Case No. 4:22 mj-	-71156 M	96- (		
	Plaintiff, v.	)	Case No. 4:22 mj- STIPULATED ORDER EX UNDER THE SPEEDY TE	XCLUDING I RIAL ACT	IME L	E	D
Gri	selda Cancelada Liceago Defendant(s).						
continuance of	Defendant(s).  In stated by the parties on the record of the public of the public of the court makes this finding and be	c and the	and finds that the ends of ju-	stice served by  See 18 U.S.	the	ALIFORI	T NIA
<del></del>	Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).			CLE	SEP -6 RK, U.S. DISTR RN DISTRICT (		
int/	The case is so unusual or so complex defendants, the nature of the por law, that it is unreasonable to expitself within the time limits establish	prosecut ect adeq	tion, or the existence quate preparation for pretrial	of novel quest proceedings of	ions of fac or the trial	CALIF	ORT FORNIA
Hou	Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of d				insel,		• <sub>10</sub> ×
	Failure to grant a continuance would counsel's other scheduled case comm See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		· ·	-		en	
	Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, to See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				time		
	With the consent of the defendant, as disposition of criminal cases, the couparagraph and — based on the partie the time limits for a preliminary hear extending the 30-day time period for exclusions set forth above). See Fed.	urt sets tes' show ring und r an indi	the preliminary hearing to the preliminary hearing to the ring of good cause — finds good criminal ctment under the Speedy Tr	e date set forth good cause for Procedure 5.1 ial Act (based	n in the first extending and for		
IT IS S	so ordered.  D:		Don				
OTIDI I	LATED:		DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Ju	ndge			
STIPU	Attorney for Defendant		Assistant United States Att	orney			

v. 1/10/2019